Ca Feb-27-200	ISE 3:08-cv-01187-JSW Document 1	Filed 02/27/2008 408-4417070	Page 1 of 35 T-906 P.006 F-540	
****			(COPY)	
	RECEIVE	<b>5</b>		
1	MICHAEL J. HOGAN, #66208 FEB 27 P b		FILE BY FAX	
2	PAUL J. BAUER, #202752	: fill	ORIGINA.	
3	DOWLING, AARON & FELRE INC. (1808) North Palm Avenue, This Plan RICT COUR		ORIGINAL FILED	
.5	Fresno, CA 93711 NO. DIST. OF CA. Telephone: (559) 432-4500		FEB 2 7 2008	
5	Facsimile: (559) 432-4590 E-mail: pbauer@daklaw.com	Book No. CLE	RICHARD W. WIEKING	
5 6	Attorneys for Defendants	American de la companya de la compan	RICHARD W. WIEKING RK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT RN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	ĺ
7	INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY, d/b/a xpedx		LIPOHNIA	
8		Digables coulde	Wm.	1
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DI	DISTRICT COURT ISTIRCT OF CALIFOR	MDB WIA	1
10			,	
11	LAKESHA WARE,	CV No. 08	110	
12	Pleintiff,	DEFENDANTS IN	TERNATIONAL PAPER D XPEDX'S NOTICE OF	
13	v.	REMOVAL OF A	CTION UNDER 28 FEDERAL QUESTION)	
13	INTERNATIONAL PAPER CO., aka INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY, AKA	0.5.C. 9 1441(B) (	FEDERAL QUESTION)	
15	INTERNATIONAL PAPER, AKA XPEDX,	Action Filed:	January 11, 2008	
16	XPEDX, a division of INTERNATIONAL PAPER, XPEDX, Does 1 to 10 Inclusive,			
17	Defendants,			
18	TO THE OLDER OF THE ABOVE	D DAYFET DO CATIDA.		
19	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOV			
20	Defendants International Paper C hereby remove this action to this Court pursuant			
21	has asserted causes of action that arise under the	***		
22	Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants disc	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
23	the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e	<u> </u>		
24	follows:	s et seg. In support me	acor, recomments state as	
25	1. On January 28, 2008, Defe	andants International Pa	ner Company and xpedy	
26	were served with a copy of the Summons on Ar		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
27	styled Lakesha Ware vs. International Paper	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
28	International Paper, aka xpedx, xpedx, a divisio			
	01351-002\00010504.1	I		
	DEFENDANTS' NO	FICE OF REMOVAL		

01351-002\00010504.1

i			
1	Inclusive, Case Number RG07358186, pending in the Alameda County Superior Court of		
2	California. Copies of the foregoing documents are attached hereto as Exhibit A. These documents		
3	constitute all pleadings, process and other documents served upon Defendants in this action. These		
4	documents were the initial pleadings served upon Defendant setting forth the claims upon which		
5	Plaintiff's action is based.		
6	2. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is currently, and was at the		
7	commencement of this action, a citizen of the state of California.		
8	3. Defendant International Paper Company is incorporated in the state of New		
9	York and, at all relevant times, has had its principal place of business in the state of Tennessee.		
10	4. Defendant xpedx is a division of International Paper Company.		
11	5. Venue is proper in this Court.		
12	6. Defendants' Notice of Removal has been filed within 30 days after service		
13	of Plaintiff's Complaint in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).		
14	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT		
15	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and (d), venue is proper as this Court serves the county		
16	in which this action arises.		
17	Copies of this Notice of Removal, as well as a Notice of Filing of Notice of		
18	Removal, have been mailed to Plaintiff's counsel, and are being filed with the Clerk of the Alameda		
19	County, California Clark of Courts.		
20	WHEREFORE, Defendant removes this action to the United States District Court		
21	for the Northern District of California.		
22	Dated: February 27, 2008.		
23			
24	By: /s/ PAUL J. BAUER		
25	PAUL J. BAUER DOWLING, AARON & KEELER, INC.		
26	Attorneys for Defendants INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY,		
27	d/b/a xpedx		
28			

DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF REMOVAL

# **EXHIBIT A**

CT CORPORATION A Wolterskinwar Company

Service of Process **Transmittal** 01/29/2008

CT Log Number 513026975

I LY**ou fri**ithi **in** min herfilik dan biri fili kik lihi cik dit

TO: Tamera Fields

International Paper Company 6400 Poplar Avenue Memphia, TN 38197-

Process Served in California RE:

xpedx (Assumed Name) (Domestic State: NY) International Paper Company (True Name) FOR

enclosed are copies of legal process received by the etatutory agent of the above governay as follows:

TITLE OF ACTION Lakesha Ware, Pltf. vs. International Paper Co., et al. including Xpedx, DRs.

Neme discrepancy noted.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED:

Summons, Amended Complaint, Exhibits

COURTAGENCY:

Alameda County, Oakland, Superior Court, CA Case # RG07358186

NATURE OF ACTIONS

Employee Litigation – Discrimination – Wrongful Termination – On 06/18/2007 – On the basis of race, sex, and/or disability

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED:

C T Corporation System, Los Angeles, CA

DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE

By Process Server on 01/28/2008 at 15:00

APPRARAMON OR ANSWER DUE

Within 30 days after service

ATTORNSY(S) / SENDER(S):

Michael C. Cohen Law Offices of Michael C. Cohen 1814 Franklin Street

Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612 510-832-6435

ACTION ITEMS:

SOP Papers with Transmittal, via Fed Ex Standard Overnight, 760927129890 Image SOP - Pagelei: 28
Email Notification, Alliaon Temple ALLISON, TEMPLE@IPAPER.COM
Email Notification, Dawn Myles DAWN, MYLES@IPAPER.COM
Email Notification, Tamara Fields tamara, fields@ipaper.com

BIGNED: ANDRESS. C T Corporation System

Nancy Flores 818 West Seventh Street Los Angeles, CA 90017 213-337-4815

TELEPHONE

information displayed on this transmittel is for CT Corporation's record weeking purposes only and is provided to the recipient for quick reference. This information does not constitute a legal opinion as to the nature of existent, the answer does, or any information contained in the documents thereafters. Recipient is respectively information of interpretaria and desurrors and for stating appropriate action. Signatures on cartified mest receipts confirm receipt of package only, not conferms.

1/28/08-73PM

Ware V. XPEDEX

116k77002515.ff-1/28/2088 12:05:30 PM

## SUMMONS ON AMENDED COMPLAINT (CITACION JUDICIAL)

SUM-100

FOR COURTUSE ONLY ISOLO PARA USO DE LA CONTE

ENDORSED

FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

NOTICE TO DEPENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

INTERNATIONAL PAPER CO., aka INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY, aka INTERNATIONAL PAPER, aka XPEDX, XFEDX, a division of international paper, XPEDX, DOES 1 TO 10, INCLUSIVE, YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

JAN 1 1 2008

CLERK OF THE SUPERFORM COURT (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE) : BY WHAT THILLON - - AND LAKESHA WARE

You have 74 CALENDAR DAYS ofter this count and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this count and have a sopy perved on the plaints. A letter or phone call will not project you, Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the count to hear your caso. There may be a count form that you gan use for your response. You can find these quart forms and more information at the California Course Online Self-risks Course (www.sourcinto.ca.gov/selfnois), your coursy law fibrary, or the sourcintouse marestypu. If you carnol pay the filing foe, ask the court clark for a fee mayor form, if you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and properly may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an amorney referral service, it you cannot afford an attorney, you may be aligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can loosts these apaciofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site pyww.landekonidorgi, spe California Courts Online Self-Help Center (wave.courtinio.cs.goviseline)p), or by contacting your lovel court or county bar association.

Tiens 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que la antrepren este clientén y papoles làgales para protentar una respuésta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copie al demandante. Una curso o una llarrado talciónica un lo protegen. Su respecste por escrito dishe que estar en formatió legaj gerrecto si distea que procesen all caso en la corsa. Es posible que seya un formilitario que ustad poeda year para su respuesto. Pivede encontrar aetde forquilarios de la sorte y más información en el Cantro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinic.oe.gov/sellheipiespanoli), en la biblioteco de leyes de su condudo o en la corte que la quada más ourse. Si no puede pager la cuota de presentación, pida al accretario de la corte que le dé un formulario da granción de pago de auxilias. Si no presenta au respuesta a ticmpo, pueda perser el caso por incamplimiento y la corta le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y blanca sin aráa advertanata.

Hay coop requisitor logales. Es recomendable que llame a un aboqueo inmaniatemente. Si no concoe a un sociado, guade listas a un servicio de remisión a abogacios. Si no prade pagar a un abogado, es posible que aumple con los requisitos para eletener sarriclos lagales gratuites de un programa de savuctos ispaias sin finas de lumo. Pliede encontrar estos grupos sin finas de jucro en el also web de Californio Legal Services, (www.lewherpealifornia.org), en al Centro de Ayuda de las Cortos de California, fundicourtinfo.ce.govinelinalpiespanoli) o ponifingase en contacto con la corte o el cologio de abogados locales.

The state of the s	CASE MARGER RG07356186
The name and address of the court is:	(Nyvasia dis Cristi):
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):	
Alameda County Superior Court	
1225 Fallon Street	
Oaklend, CA 94612	
The home, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff	without an attomay, it:
(El nombre, la dirección y si número de teléfono del abogado del demandan	ile, o del demandante qua no tisne abogado, es):
Michael C. Cohen, Esq. LA	N OFFICES OF MICHAEL C. COHEN
1814 Franklin Street, Suita 900 Oal	cland, CA 94612
510-832-6469 1 1 2008	4
	k.bv Va.// (T. 112
	referio) (Adjunto
(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (for	m POS-010).)
(Para proeba de entraga de esta citatión use el formulado Proof of Service o	of Summone, (POS-010)).
NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: YO	u are servad
INTERLY 1. as an individual defendant.	
2. as the person sued under the fixth	itious name of (specify) :
	•
3. IXI on behalf of (specify): XPEDX	
under: A CCF 418.10 (corporate	
CCP 416,20 (defunct o	
CCP 416.40 (#8#ociati	
other (specify):	and has many and a second and a
4. by personal delivery on (date) 3	*
- Line -	PROP 1 0/1
From Adopted for Mandatory Uses SUMMONS	Code of Class Proceeding 99 412,70, 485

1 Michael C. Cohen, Esq., Bar No. 65487 ENDORSED LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL C. COHEN FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY 1814 Franklin Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94512 JAN 1 1 2008 3 (510) 832-6436 CLEAK OF ITALE to machine Confiden Attorneys for Plaintiff 4 By KMEL DIFFLION "HUTY 5 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 7 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION 8 9 LAKESHA WARE. 10 Case No. RG07358186 Plaintiff, 11 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF STATE STATUTES 12 W. PROHIBITING EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION, VIOLATION OF INTERNATIONAL PAPER CO., aka 13 TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY. ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED, BREACH OF IMPLIED COVENANT OF aka INTERNATIONAL PAPER, aka 14 XPEDX, XPEDX, a division of INTERNATIONAL PAPER, XFEDX GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING, 15 WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN DOES 1 TO 10, INCLUSIVE, VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY, 16 FAMILY LEAVE ACT Defendants. 17 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 18 GENERAL ALLEGATIONS 19 Plaintiff, Lakesha Ware, alleges: 20 Plaintiff Lakesha Ware (hereinafter referred to as 21 "plaintiff") is an African American adult woman, who at all 22 relevant times lived and worked in the county of Alameda, state 23 of California. 24 2. Plaintiff is informed and believed that at all times 25 alleged herein, defendant International Paper Co., is a 26 corporation, doing business in the state of California, county of 27

-1-

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

1 Alamada. Plaintiff is informed and balieves and thereon alleges that International Paper Co. is also known as International Paper Company and also known as International Paper. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that International Paper Company, is also known as XPEDX. Plaintiff is informed and believes that XPEDX is a division of International Paper Company.

- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges 4. that at all times herein mentioned XPEDX is a corporation doing business in Alameda County, State of California.
- Defendant, International Paper, aka International Paper 5. Company, aka XPEDX, (hereinafter referred to "International"), and defendant XPEDX, and each of them, at all times alleged herein, except as otherwise stated herein, was plaintiff's employer, and subject to the Fair Employment and Housing Act, Government Code \$12900 et seq., in that said defendants, and each of them, regularly employed more than (50) people.
- Defendant, International, and defendant XPEDX, and each of them, except as otherwise stated herein was an employer, subject to suit under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amanded.
- 7. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as DOES I to 10, inclusive and therefore aues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible as hereinafter shown for the

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

37

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 28

1 coccurrences and injuries alleged in this complaint.

- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, each DOE, defendant named herain was the agent and/or employee of International and the remaining DOE defendants, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, was acting within the course and scope of such agency and/or employment.
- 9. The unlawful employment practices complained of herein occurred in Alameda County, state of California.
- 10. Plaintiff is a person protected by the California Fair Employment and Rousing Act, Government Code \$\$ 12926, 12940 et seq., in that he is an African American woman, a member of a racial minority group. Plaintiff was a disabled employee. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that her employer perceived her as having a disability. Plaintiff suffered an on the job injury, she applied for workers' compensation disability benefits. She was receiving workers' compensation disability benefits at the time International and or XPEDX fired her from her job.
- 11. Plaintiff is a person protected by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. Plaintiff is an African American woman, a member of a racial minority group. Plaintiff was a disabled employee. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that her employer perceived her as having a disability. Plaintiff suffered an on the job injury, she applied for workers' compensation disability benefits. She was receiving workers' compensation disability benefits at the time

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

25

27

28

International and or XPEDX fired her from her job.

- 12. Plaintiff began working for International, and or XFEDX on or about April 20, 2001, as a store associate. She satisfactorily performed all of her duties, and the conditions of said employment, with reasonable competence, consistent with the practices and policies of International, and or XPEDX, and each of them, until said defendant and or each of them unlawfully terminated her employment, effective May 18, 2007.
- 13. Plaintiff sustained an on the job injury while working in the course and scope of her job with International and or XPEDX.
- 14. Plaintiff filed a claim for workers' compensation benefits for her on the job injury. Plaintiff received workers' compensation benefits because of her on the job injury.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that her employer unlawfully terminated her employment because of her race, sex, and or her disability, and or her perceived disability, and or in retaliation against her because she filed a workers' compensation claim and or received workers' compensation benefits.
- 16. Plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedy before filing his lawsuit herein. Plaintiff filed a complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, (hereinafter referred to as "EEOC"). A copy of her EEOC complaint is marked Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein.
- 17. Plaintiff received a Right To Sue Letter from EEQC, and filed her lawsuit berein within ninety days of her receipt of her

 EEOC Right To Sue Letter. A copy of her Right To Sue Letter from EEOC is marked Exhibit B, attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

- 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant, and each of them was timely served a copy of plaintiff's OFEH complaint and a copy of the Notice of Case Closure.
- 19. Plaintiff, thereafter filed another complaint against International Paper Co. A copy of plaintiff's EEOC complaint is marked Exhibit C, attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 20. Plaintiff received a Right To Sue Letter from EEOC and then timely filed her lawsuit herein, within ninety days of receipt of her Right To Sue letter from EEOC. A copy of his Right To Sue Letter from EEOC is marked Exhibit D, attached hereto and incorporated herein.
- 21. At all times relevant hereto, plaintiff's supervisor and manager(s) were the agents/and or employees of defendant and notes 1 to 10, inclusive, and each of them, in doing the things hereinafter alleged, were acting within the course and scope of such agency and/or employment.
- 22. At all relevant times, defendant, and Does 1 to 10, inclusive, and each of them, knew or should have known that its managerial employee(s), was discriminating against plaintiff because of plaintiff's race, and or sex, and or disability, and or perceived disability and or retaliating against plaintiff because of plaintiff filed her workers' compensation complaint

4 1 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

22

23 24

25

25

28

1 | and or was receiving workers' compensation benefits.

- 23. The actions and failures to act of defendant and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive, and each of them, were severe and pervasive such that it created a hostile work anvironment for plaintiff.
- 24. Defendant and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive, and each of them, despite knowledge and or adequate opportunity to learn of the misconduct of its agents and or employees, retained said defendants, agents and or employees in its service, and thereafter adopted, approved and ratified the acts, omissions and misconduct of said defendants.
- 25. Defendants, and each of them, discriminated against plaintiff because of plaintiff's race, and or her sex, and or disability and or perceived disability and or in retaliation against her for filing her workers' compensation claim and or her receiving workers' compensation benefits by doing the acts complained of herein; said acts included but are not limited to:
  - a. Terminating plaintiff's amployment;
  - b. Denying plaintiff employment opportunities;
- c. Failing to take all reasonable immediate and appropriate 19 corrective action to remedy the unlawful employment 20 discrimination and or retaliation; 21
  - d. Failing to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent unlawful discrimination and or retaliation from occurring on the job;
  - 26. As a proximate cause of the alleged acts of defendant and each of them, plaintiff has suffered emotional distress, loss of income and will continue to suffer emotional distress, future

3

4

5

б 7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

. 25

26

income loss, wage loss, and attorney fees and other incidental and out-of pocket expenses, all to plaintiff's damage in an amount to be shown according to proof.

27. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF GOVERNMENT CODE \$§12920, 12921, and 12940 at seq.

- 28. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 27 of the Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 29. In doing each and all of the acts alleged herein, said defendants, intentionally, willfully, and without justification, attempted to and did deprive Plaintiff of her rights, privileges and immunities secured to her by the Constitution and laws of the State of California, particularly his right to be free from discrimination and/or harassment in employment on the grounds of race as provided by Government Code SS 12920, 12921, 12940, et seq..
- 30. Plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedy prior to filing her lawsuit berein.
- 31. Plaintiff received a Right To Sue Letter from DFEH, and then filed his lawsuit herein within one year from the date of his Right To Sue Letter.
- 32. Defendant's conduct proximately caused plaintiff to retain an attorney to represent him and incur attorney fees. The amount of attorney fees has not been fully determined at this time; the amount of attorney fees will be shown according to proof at the time of trial.

27 28

33. Defendant's conduct and or the conduct of each
defendant, alleged herein proximately cause plaintiff to lose
income, and employment benefits, and suffer emotional distress,
mental anguish, anxiety and worry, and incur attorney fees, and
is reasonably certain to proximately cause plaintiff to lose
income and suffer emotional distress, mental anguish, anxiety and
worry, and incur attorney fees, in the future all to plaintiff's
damage in an amount to be shown according to proof.
that the second of the second

34. The conduct of defendants, and each of them, alleged herein was willful, wanton, malicious, and oppressive, in that defendant knew or should have known that its conduct was unreasonable and or illegal. Furthermore, defendant's acts were carried out in willful and conscious disregard of plaintiff's rights and well-being such as to constitute malicious, despicable conduct within the meaning of California Civil Code \$3294, entitling plaintiff to pumitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish or make an example of defendant.

#### PACCORD CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED

35. Plaintiff realleges, and incorporates by reference, Paragraphs 1 through 34 of the Amended Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION RESACE OF THE IMPLIED IN FACT COFFRACT

36. Plaintiff realleges, and incorporates by reference, Paragraphs 1 through 33 of the Amended Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

-8-

Ţ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

37. The conduct, policies, and past practices of defendant and DOES 1 to 5, inclusive, and each of the, and of its agents and employees, as alleged herein, during plaintiff's years of employment with said defendant(s), gave rise to an implied in fact contract between plaintiff and defendant(s) and caused plaintiff to reasonably believe that, if she faithfully and diligently performed the duties of his position that he would be treated fairly and remain in defendant's employ until her reached retirement age. Defendant, and DOES 1 TO 10, inclusive, and each of them, represented that it was an equal opportunity employer who would not intentionally limit or terminate plaintiff's employment, except for good cause only; and that plaintiff would be treated fairly and not discriminated against because of her race, her athnicity and or disability or perceived disability, and not in retalistion for filing a workers' compensation claim and or for taking off work because of a work related injury.

- 38. Defendants, and each of them, breached this implied in fact covenant by doing the acts and railing to act, as alleged in this complaint.
- 39. As a direct proximate cause of defendants' breach of said implied contract, plaintiff has lost income and sustained damages in an amount to be shown at the time of trial. It is reasonably certain that plaintiff will lose additional income in the future.

1111

26 ////

27

28

2

3

4 5

5 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVEMANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING Plaintiff realleges, and incorporates by reference, Paragraphs 1 through 39 of the Amended Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein. Into every employment contract there is an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing requiring each party to the agreement to refrain from acting in a manner which will unfairly deprive the other party from the benefit of the bargain. Defendants, and each of them, breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing owed to plaintiff by the acts or omissions alleged herein.

### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION WRONGEGL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY

- 42. Plaintiff realleges, and incorporates by reference, Paragraphs 1 through 34 of the Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.
- befendants, in doing the acts complained about herein, and in failing to acts as complained about herein, violated public policy as set forth in Government Code sections 12920 & 12921.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION against Xpedz for Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended

44. Plaintiff realleges, and incorporates by reference, Paragraphs 1, 4, 6 through 15, 21 through 27, 33, & 34 of the Amended Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

27 28

1	1	
2		viol
3		amen
	ħ	_

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

ation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as ded, plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedy by filing a complaint with the EEOC against Xpedx. A copy of plaintiff's complaint is marked Exhibit E, attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference. 46. Plaintiff received a Right To Sue Letter from EEOC

45. Prior to filing her complaint herein against Xpedx for

regarding her EEOC complaint against Kpedx, after she filed the complaint herein. A copy of her EEOC Right To Sue Letter regarding her complaint against Xpedx is marked Exhibit P, attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference. Plaintiff amended her complaint to add a cause of action against Xpedx within ninety (90) days of receipt of her Right To Sue Letter.

## SEVENTE CAUSE OF ACTION Against Moeds for violation of California Government Codes Section 12940, et seq.

- 47. Plaintiff realleges, and incorporates by reference, Paragraphs 1, 4, 6 through 15, 21 through 27, 33, & 34 of the Amended Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 48. Prior to filing her complaint herein against Xpedx for violation of California Government Code Section 12940, et seg., plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedy by filing a complaint with the EEOC against Xpedx. A copy of plaintiff's complaint is marked Exhibit E, attached hereto and incorporated herein.
- Plaintiff received a Right To Sue Letter from DFEH regarding her DFEH complaint against Xpedx, after she filed the

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24 25

26 27 28

1 complaint herein. A copy of her DFER Right To Sue Letter 2 regarding her complaint against Kpedx is marked Exhibit G, 3 attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference. 4 Plaintiff amended her complaint to add a cause of action against Xpedx within one year from her receipt of her Right To Sue Letter. PRAYER WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgement against all Defendants, and each of them as follows: Compensatory and special damages, including damages for 1. mental and emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at the time of trial; Lost income, past and future; 2. Punitive and exemplary damages in an amount appropriate to punish and/or make an example of the Defendants sued individually herein to be determined at trial; Reasonable attorney fees; 4. Costs of suit incurred herein; 5. Prejudgment interest at the legal rate on the amount of 6. Plaintiff's lost wages and employment benefits; and Such other and further relief as the Court deems just 7. and proper. LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL C. COHEN Dated: January 8, 2008 Attorney for Plaintiff

# SECC CHARGE # 555-2007-00774

LEKISHA WARE'S EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMPLAINT AND DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING COMPLAINT FOR DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE, SEX, DISABILITY, PERCEIVED DISABILITY, AND OR RETALIATION FOR FILING A WORKER'S COMPENSATION CLAIM, AND OR RECEIVING WORKERS' COMPENSATION BENEFITS

CLAIMANT: Lckistin Ware 2137 Park Blvd. Apt. 11 Oakland, CA 94606 tele. No. 510-419-0693 SS # 558-57-1693 RECEIVED

AUG B 0 2007

EEOC - OLO

ADDRESS WHERE CLAIMANT'S NOTICES SHOULD BE SENT: Michael C. Cohen, Esq.
Law Offices of Michael C. Cohen

1814 Franklin Street, Suite 900 Oakland, California, 94612 tele. No. 510-832-6436

EMPLOYER:

International Paper, aka XPEDX A division of International Paper 330 Brush Street
Oakland, CA 94607

ADDRESS WHERE NOTICES SHOULD BE SENT: Brends V. Thompson Senior Commed, Employment and Labor International Paper Company 6285 Tri-Ridge Blvd. Loveland, OH 45140 tele. No. 513-965-2955

#### FACTS GIVING RISE TO CLAIM:

Claimant, Lekisha Ware, is an African American woman. International Paper, eka, XPEDX, a division of international Paper, (International Paper) is her former employer. Ms. Were was employed by International Paper for six years. During the time she was employed she performed her job duties satisfactorily. She was working as a store associate at a store in Oakland, California, when the store planed to re-located from Oakland to Berkeley, California. Ms. Were was ready, willing and able to continue working for International Paper, at its new location in Berkeley. Ms. Were sustained a workers' compensation injury and applied for workers' compensation benefits. Shortly thereafter, Date Alexander, manager of Human Resources informed her that she was terminated effective May 18, 2007, because of a reduction

EEOC CHARGE # 555-2007-00774

Lakisha Were REOC & DFEH Complaint Page 2.

in the employer's work force. However, the store recently hired a male to perform the same work Ms. Ware had been performing for the employer.

Ms. Were contends that she was discriminated because of her race, sax, disability and or perceived disability and or in retalization for her filing a workers compensation claim, and or receiving workers compensation benefits.

International Paper contends that Claimant resigned from her job because ahe was required to work a different schedule at the Berkeley store than she worked at the Oakland store.

Ms. Were contends that International Paper's stated reasons for her separation from her job is a presext, and that the real reason she was terminated from her job was because of her race, sex, disability and or perceived disability and or in retaliation for her filing a workers' compensation claim, and or receiving workers' compensation benefits.

I declare under penalty of perjuny, pursuant to the laws of the State of California and pursuant to the laws of the United States of America, that the statements herein are true and correct from my personal knowledge. If swom under oath I could and would competently testify from my personal knowledge that the statements herein are true and correct and that this declaration was executed in Oakland, California on the date indicated below.

Dete: June 27, 2007

RECEIVED

AUG 3 0 2887

EEOC - OLO

EÉQĈ (40m 161=8 (8098)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUN...Y COMMISSION

# NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

1814	ha Were Mohael Cohen, Esq. Franklin St., Sie 900 nd, CA 94512		From:	Oekland Local Office 1301 Clay Street Suite 1170-N Oekland, GA 34812	
	On beitelf of person(s) expressed who CONFIDENTIAL (28 CFR §1801-7(a))	se identity is			
EEOC Charp	e No.	BEDC Representative		Telephone No.	
555-2007-0	10774	Julian F. Melendres, Investigator Support Ass	t	(510) 637-32	42
<b>Молевтотн</b>	e Pierson Assirisved:			he additional information englosed with	
under Tille V the ADA man	It and/or the ADA based on the abo	nya-numbarad charge. It has be aust <u>Within 80 DAYS</u> of your	usai nee 191937	(ADA): This is your Notice of Right to 8 ad at your request. Your lawsuit under of this notice; or your right to sue ba	Title VII
	More than 180 days have passed	since the filing of this charge.			
X	Less than 180 days have passed he able to complete its administra	since the <b>sting</b> of this charge, t ive processing within 150 days	ust i hav Gram the	e determined that it is unlikely that the filing of this charge.	EEOC wi
X	The EEOC is terminating its proce	ssing of this charge.			
	The SEOC will confinue to process				
Age Diacrim 90 days after your sase:	lastion in Employment Avt (ADE, you receive notice that we have o	A): You may sue under the ADE ompleted action on the charge.	in this ni	r time from 60 days after the charge wa regard, the paragraph marked below	a Med un applies 1
	The EEOC is closing your case. 30 DAYS of your receipt of this	Therefore, your levault under th Notice, Otherwise, your right to	e ADEA sue bas	anust be filed in federal or state cour and on the above-numbered charge will	t WITHIA be lost.
	The ECOC is continuiting its handle you may five suit in redend or state	ing of your ADEA case. Howel court under the ADEA at this fi	er, 1760 Me.	days have passed strice the filing of th	ia charge
in fariment due a	ct (EPA): You already have the right that court within 2 years (8 years (8 years (8 years)) as that cocurred more than 2 years	be willing violations; of the skepp	a e-a u	charge is not required.) EPA suits must inderpayment. This means that backpo at be collectible.	be brougi ay due fo
if you file ault.	hadad on this charge, please send	a copy of your count complaint to	this offic	<b>3</b> .	
	•		_		

On bahaif of the Commission

Englasures(8)

Michelle L. Nardella, Director

CO,

Brenda Thempson Senior Councel INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY 5255 Tri-Ridge Bivd Loveland, OH 45140

EXHIBIT B

116N 77602516 ft - 1/28/2008 12:05:50 PM

DE	PARTMENT OF FAIR Address Checked Beld	EMPLOYMENT &	HOUSING ARMOLD SEPREMENTEGES, OF	5
÷	18904 700-2920	EEOC Number: Case Name;	555-2007-00774 WARE, Lekisha v. International Paper	
규	1007 Tawar Way, 2146 250 Bahanfield, CA 95308 (881) 395-2722	Date:	Co. August 30, 2007	
Ç	'1920 S. Shave Avenus, Suice 150 Frains, CA 88770 (669) 244-4780	: •- • NOTIC	e to complainant and respondent	
R/S/T	.911 West Such Street, Solie 1500 Les Angoles, CA 50017 (113: 429-6782	the California Departme Equal Employment Oppor	at the above-referenced complaint is being referred to not of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) by the U.S. prounity Commission (EEOC). The complaint will be illed forms Government Code sention 12850. This paties	
R	1518 Cmy Street, Buller 701 Debind, CL 94212 (510) 822-3841	in accordance with Celifornia Government Code section 12950. This notice constitutes service pursuant to Government Code section 12952.  No response to the DFEH is required by the respondent.		
	2000 "0" Street, Suite 120 Secretario, CA 95514 1978) 445-5528	nat be conducting an in	usible for the processing of this complaint. Difet will vestigation into this matter. EEOC should be contacted on of the charge. Difet is closing its case on the basis a souther agency.	f :
	7 190 Frant Street, Suits 5005 Ean Biago, GA 92701 (818) 867-2987		e to complainant of right-to-sue	
	San Francisco District Office 1515 City Street, Suite 701 Ostland, CA BAS12 (510) 825-2975	notice. According to Go may bring a civil action of Housing Act against the agency named in the ab- State of California Super	ssuing an accusation, this letter is also your right-to-superment Code section 12965, subdivision (b), you under the provisions of the Fair Employment and person, employer, labor organization or employment overreferenced complaint. The lawsuit may be filed in item or Justice Court. Government Code section 12965	<b>4</b>
	257C.Month First Street, Strite 48D San Jane, CA 32731 (408) 326-0344	subdivision (b), provides year from the date of the 12855, subdivision (d)(1 pendency of the SEOC's	that such a givil action must be brought within one is notice. Pursuant to Government Code section.  ), this one-year period will be tolled during the investigation of your complaint. You should consult a	
□ K	2701 Sept Fourth Street, Suite 255-8 Sum Ave. CA 92709 [714] 588-4288	filed. This right to file a	Ith accuracy the date by which a civil action must be civil action may be waived in the event a settlement pestions about the right to file under federal law should	:
	•	The DFEH does not retain	n case records beyond three years after a complaint is	
•		Remember: This Right-T	o-Sue Notice allows you to file a private lewsuit in	

WANDA J. KIRBY Chief Deputy Director

State court.

Sincerely,

Projects with EPOD Form 181-8 (3/98)

# INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT LINDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This Information relates to filing suit in Federal or State court <u>under Federal law.</u>
If you also plan to sue cleiming violations of State law, piezee be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

PRIVATE SUIT RESITS -- Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge within 90 tlavs of the date you receive this Notice. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90-day period is over, your right to sue based on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an externey, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to avoid any question that you did not eat in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 90 days of the date this Notice was maked to you (as indicated where the Notice is signed) or the date of the postmark, if later.

Your laweuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after taking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office, if you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the cierk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

# PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS - Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment back pay due for violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/00 to 12/1/00, you should file suit before 7/1/02 — not 12/1/02 — in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2000. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sua under Title VII, the ADA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

## ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION - Title VII and the ADA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisolotion in your case may, in limited circumstances, sesist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and marker it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an alterney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do not refer a you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

## ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND EEOC ASSISTANCE - All Statutes;

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 5 ments after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, please make your review request within 8 ments of this Notice. (Sefore filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)

IF YOU FILE SUIT, PLEASE SING A COPY OF YOUR COURT COMPLAINT TO THIS OFFICE.

LEKISHA WARE'S EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMPLAINT AND DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING COMPLAINT AGAINST INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY FOR DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE, SEX, DISABILITY, PERCEIVED DISABILITY, MEDICAL CONDITION, AND OR RETALLATION FOR FILING A WORKER'S COMPENSATION CLAIM, AND OR RECEIVING WORKERS' COMPENSATION BENEFITS

RECEIVED

CLAIMANT: Lekisha Ware 2137 Park Blvd. Apt. 11 Orkland, CA 94606 tele. No. 510-419-0693 SE # 558-57-1693

NOV 16 2007

EEOC - OLO

ADDRESS WHERE CLAIMANT'S NOTICES SHOULD BE SENT: Michael C. Cohen, Esq.
Lew Offices of Michael C. Cohen
1814 Franklin Street, Strite 900
Oakland, California, 94612
tele. No. 510-832-6436

EMPLOYER: International Paper Company 4510 Reading Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45229

# ADDRESS WHERE NOTICES SHOULD BE SENT:

KPEDX General Manager 330 Brush Street Oakland, CA 94607

Brenda V. Thompson Senior Counsel, Employment and Labor International Paper Company 6285 Tri-Ridge Blvd. Loveland, OH 45140 tele, No. 513-965-2966

International Paper 4510 Reading Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45229

EXHIBIT C

116H77602E15.H - 1/28/2008 12:05:30 PM

Lakisha Ware EBOC & DFEH
Complaint against International Paper
Page 2.

## FACTS GIVING RISE TO CLAIM:

Claimant, Lekisha Ware, is an African American woman. International Paper is her former employer. Ms. Were was employed by International Paper for six years. During the time she was employed she performed her job duties satisfactorily. She was working for International Paper as a store associate at a store in Oakland, California, when the store planed to re-located from Oakland to Berkeley, California. Ms. Were was ready, willing and able to continue working for International Paper at its new location in Berkeley. Ms. Were sustained a back injury on the job in January 2007. Because of her back injury she was placed on light duty and she filed a workers compensation claim. She remained on light duty until International Paper terminated her from her job.

Dele Alexander, manager of Human Resources informed Claimant that she was terminated effective May 18, 2007, because of a reduction in the employer's work force. However, the store hired a male at that time or shortly before or after that date, to perform the came work Ms. Were had been performing for the employer.

Ms. Were contends that International Paper discriminated because of her race, sex, disability and or perceived disability, and or her medical condition and or in retaliation for her filling a workers compensation claim, and or receiving workers compensation benefits.

International Paper contends that claiment resigned from her job because she was required to work a different schedule at the Berkeley store than she worked at the Oakland store.

Ms. Ware contends that international Paper's stated reasons for terminating Ms Were's employment is a protext, and that the real reason she was terminated from her job was because of her race, sex, disability and or perceived disability and or medical condition and or in retaliation for her filing a workers' compensation claim, and or receiving workers' compensation benefits.

I declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to the laws of the State of California and pursuant to the laws of the United States of America, that the statements herein are true and correct from my personal knowledge. If swom under oath I could and would competently testify from my personal knowledge that the statements herein are true and correct and that this declaration was executed in Oakland, California on the data indicated below.

Date: 11-5-07

e do	C From 161-8 (54)8)	U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY CO	MOIESIMNO
		NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON	REQUEST)
To:	Lekisha Wara C/O Michael Cohen, Esc 1814 Franklin St., Ste 20 Oskland, CA 94812	0	Oskland Local Office 1301 Clay Street Suite 1170-N Cakland, GA 84812
i	On behelf of pursons CONFIDENTIAL (29	হ। eggrieved whose identity is (अन्त greD1,7(व))	
EEQ	C Cherge No.	EECC Representative	Talephone No.
N		Julian F. Melendres,	/E4D) 644 0D46
550	2008-00150	Investigator Support Asst	(510) 537-3242  • entitional information enclosed with this form
Moave	e to the Person Acgrieves	•	a anning with with the things with an ibit
Under	Title VII andfortite ADA be DA must be filled in a fedie will be lost. (The time limi	of 1984 and/or the Americans with Disabilities Act (A used on the glove-numbered charge. It has been issued and or state court <u>WITHIN 90 DAYS</u> of your receipt w it for king suit based on a state claim may be different.) Is have passed since the filing of this charge.	d at volit reguest. Your lewfult under Title VII
Ē	Y less than 180 days	s have passed since the filing of this charge, but I have the soministrative processing within 180 days from the fi	dstermined that it is unlikely that the EEOC w iling of this charge.
Ī	X The EEOC is termi	tisting its processing of this sharps.	
Ī		linue to process this charge.	
Aont (	/s affer you recalve notice ' rese:	ient Act (ADEA): You may suc under the ADEA at any i that we have completed action on the charge. In this fe	Start was beire Greifel utbillen meine -felinge
	The EEOC is closh 30 DAYS of your r	ng your case. Therefore, your lawsult under the ADEA n eculpt of this Notice. Otherwise, your right to sue base	ic to the stroke-littlichies everife with by sper-
	you may file suit in	nuing its handling of your ADEA cases. However, if 30 d federal or state court under the ADEA at this time.	•
		ly have the right to see under the EPA (filling an EEOC oh nars (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA und na figh 2 years (5 years) before you file sult may not	
if you t	lia suit, based on this charge	e, please send a copy of your court complaint to this office.	· ·
		On behalf of the Commit	İssian
		Markanos	1- 11/15/02
Endo	zniez(z)	Michaile L. Nardella, Director	(Doke Mails d)

ÇD)

Brends V. Thompson Sr. Counsel, E & L INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY 6288 Tri - Ridge Blvd. Loveland, OH 46140

EXHIBIT D

116M7/602516.6F-1/202008 12:06:30 PM

Enclosure with EE DC Form 101-8 (3005)

#### INPORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This information relates to filing ault in Federal or State court <u>under Federal Jaw</u>. If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time timits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge within 30 days of the date you receive this Notice. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90day period is over, your right to sue besed on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an attorney, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to swold any question that you did not set in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 50 days of the date this Notice was mailed to you (as indipated where the Notice is signed) of the date of the postmark, if later.

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlewful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

# PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS - Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment, back pay due for violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/00 to 12/1/00, you should file suit before 70/02 - not 12/1/02 - in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2000. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

# ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION - Title VII and the ADA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. Dietrict Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made wall before the and of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do not relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

#### ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND PEOC ASSISTANCE - Ali Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, please make your review request within 5 months of this Notice. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)

IF YOU FILE SUIT, PLEASE SEND A COPY OF YOUR COURT COMPLAINT TO THIS OFFICE.

Lakisha Ware EEOC & DFEH Complaint against International Paper Page 2.

EEOC CHARGE # 555-2008-00160

## FACTS GIVING RISE TO CLAIM:

Claimant, Lakisha Ware, is an African American woman. International Paper is her former employer. Ms. Wart was employed by international Paper for six years. During the time she was employed she performed her job duties satisfacturily. She was working for International Paper as a store associate at a store in Oakland, California, when the store planed to re-located from Oakland to Berkeley, California. Mr. Ware was ready, willing and able to continue working for International Paper at its new location in Berkeley. Ms. Ware sustained a back injury on the job in January 2007. Because of her back injury she was placed on light duty and she filed a workers compensation claim. She remained on light duty until International Paper terminated har from her job.

Dale Alexander, memager of Human Resources informed Claimant that she was terminated effective May 18, 2007, because of a reduction in the employer's work force. However, the store hired a male at that time or shortly before or after that date, to perform the same work Ms. Were had been performing for the employer.

Ms. Were contends that International Paper discriminated because of her race, sex. disability and or perceived disability, and or her medical condition and or in retaliation for her filing a workers compensation claim, and or receiving workers compensation benefits.

International Paper contends that claimant resigned from her job because she was required to work a different schedule at the Berkeley store than she worked at the Oakland store.

Ms. Were contends that International Paper's stated reasons for terminating Ms Ware's employment is a protext, and that the real reason she was terminated from her job was because of her race, sex, disability and or perceived disability and or medical condition and or in retaliation for her filing a workers' compensation claim, and or receiving workers' compensation benefits.

I declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to the laws of the State of California and pursuant to the laws of the United States of America, that the statements herein are true and correct from my personal knowledge. If swom under oath I outld and would competently testify from my personal knowledge that the statements herein are true and correct and that this declaration was executed in Oakland, California on the date indicated below.

Date: 11-5-07

RECEIVED

NOV 1.9" 2007"

EEOC - OLO

	DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING				
	ADDRESS CHECKED BELO		• · · · · -		
TTY # I	800) 700-2320	EEOC Number: Case Name:	555-2008-00160 Ms. Lekisha Ware v l	nternational	
H	1001 'rower Why, Suite 250 Agustafaid, CA 83309 (601) 296-2729	Date:	Paper November 19, 2007		
C	1320 E. Shaw Avenue, Suite 150 Freeze, CA 98710 IS30) 244-4760	·	e to complainant and respoi	k/ħENfT	
		MOIC	E IO POMINENTANTAL MIND MEGLOI	M DESA 1	
R/S/T	111 West State Seren, Guint 1600 Los Angelse, CA 99017 (215) 439-0792	This is to advise you that the above-referenced complaint is being referred to the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). The complaint will be filed in accordance with California Government Code section 1.2860. This notice			
Z.	1915 Clay Sheet, Suite 701, Dakund, CA 94612 (5101 822-2941	nonstitutes service pursuant to Government Code section 12962.  No response to the DFEH is required by the respondent.			
Ē	2000 °6" Street, Rufte 120 Season ette, CA 95614 1918 445-5625	The EEOC will be responsible for the processing of this complaint. DEEH will not be conducting an investigation into this matter. EEOC should be contacted directly for any discussion of the charge. DEEH is closing its case on the basis of "processing waived to another agency."			
	1850 From Street, Suits 3006 San Diego, CA 92101	•	E TO COMPLAINANT OF RIGHT-TO	•	
	846 Fry 1220 Digital Office 1575 Ciay Socce, Suito 701 Oakland, CA 36812 (510) 822-2373	Since DFEH will not be issuing an accusation, this letter is also your right-to-sue notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), you may bring a pivil action under the provisions of the Pair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The lawsuit may be filed in a State of California Superior or Justice Court. Government Code section 12965.			
	2570 North First Stort, Stole 480 Sen Jose, CA 95151 (409) 925-0344	subdivision (b), provides year from the date of this 12965, subdivision (d) [1]	that such a givil action must be br a notice. Pursuant to Government ), this one-year behod will be tolica investigation of your complaint. Y	ought within one Code section I during the 'ou should consult an	
₽ K	2101 State Faulth Street, Builte 255-B Quate Are, CA 82705 (714) 858-4286	with the determine with accuracy the date by which a civil action must be			
,•		The DFEH does not retain	n case records bayond three years	after a complaint is	
•	•	Remember: This Right-T State court.	o-Sus Notice allows you to file a p	rivate iswault in	

Şincerely.

WANDA J. KRBY Chief Deputy Diffector

118N77802516\_bf - 1/28/2008 12:05:30 PM

LEKISHA WARE'S EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMPLAINT AND DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING COMPLAINT AGAINST XPEDX, FOR DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE, SEX, DISABILITY, PERCEIVED DISABILITY, MEDICAL CONDITION, AND OR RETALIATION FOR FILING A WORKER'S COMPENSATION CLAIM, AND OR RECEIVING WORKERS' COMPENSATION BENEFITS

CLAIMANT: Lekisha Ware 2137 Park Blvd. Apt. 11 Oakland, CA 94606 tele. No. 510-419-0693 SS# 558-57-1693

ADDRESS WHERE CLAIMANT'S NOTICES SHOULD BE SENT: Michael C. Cohon, Esq. Law Offices of Michael C. Cohen 1814 Franklin Street, Suite 900 Oakland, California, 94612 tele. No. 510-832-6436

EMPLOYER: XPEDX 330 Brush Street Oakland, CA 94607

ADDRESS WHERE NOTICES SHOULD BE SENT:

XPEDX General Manager 330 Brush Street Oakland, CA 94607

Brenda V. Thompson Senior Counsel, Employment and Labor International Paper Company 6285 Tri-Ridge Blvd. Loveland, OH 45140 tele, No. 313-965-2966

International Paper 4510 Reading Road Cincinnati, Obio 45229 RECEIVED

EECC CHARGE # 555-2008-00159

Lakisha Ware REOC & DFEH Complaint against XPEDX Page 2.

NOV 19 2007

EEOC - OLO

## FACTS GIVING RISE TO CLAIM:

Claimant, Lekisha Ware, is an African American women. XPEDX is her former employer. Ms. Ware was employed by XFEDX for six years. During the time she was employed she performed her job duties satisfactorily. She was working for XPEDX as a store associate at a store in Dakland, California, when the store planed to re-located from Oakland to Berkeley, California. Ms. Ware was ready, willing and able to continue working for XPEDX, at its new location in Berkeley. Ms. Ware sustained a back injury on the job in January 2007. Because of her back injury she was placed on light duty and she filed a workers compensation claim. She remained on light duty until XPEDX terminated her from her job.

Dale Alexander, manager of Human Resources informed Claimant that she was terminated effective May 18, 2007, because of a reduction in the employer's work force. However, the store hired a male at that time or shortly before or after that date, to perform the same work Ms. Were had been performing for the employer.

Ms. Were contends that XPEDX discriminated because of her rece, sex, disability and or perceived disability, and or het medical condition and or in retaliation for her filing a workers compensation claim, and or receiving workers compensation benefits.

XPEDX contends that claimant resigned from her job because she was required to work a different schedule at the Berkeley store than she worked at the Oakland store.

Ms. Were contends that XPEDX's stated reasons for terminating Ms Ware's employment is a pretext, and that the real reason she was terminated from her job was because of her race, sex, disability and or perceived disability and or medical condition and or in retaliation for her filing a workers' compensation claim, and or receiving workers' compensation benefits.

I declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to the laws of the State of California and pursuant to the laws of the United States of America, that the statements herein are true and correct from my personal knowledge. If swom under eath I could and would competently testify from my personal knowledge that the statements herein are true and correct and that this declaration was executed in Oakland, California on the date indicated below.

Date: 11-05-07

EEOC Fann 1	87-8 CDF91 U.S.	. Equal Employment Opportunit	Y COMMISSION
	NoT	ICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED	ON REQUEST)
C/O 181	ishe Ware Law Ofes Michael Cohen 4 Frenklin Street, Buits 90 dand, CA 94\$12		m; Cakland Locel Office 1301 Clay Street Suite 1170-N Oakland, CA 94612
	On bahelf of parson(s) aggrisw CONFICENTIAL (29 CFR §190	ay whose (dentity is 11.7(al)	
E≝OC Cha	rge No.	GEOC Representative	Telephane No.
		Julian F. Melondres,	
556-Z004	B-00159	Investigator Support Asst	(510) 637-3242
_		(See ak	lso the additional information enclosed with this form,
Title VII of under Title the ADA of	VII and/or the ADA based on the tast the filled in a federal or st	he above-numbered charps. It has been i	Act (ADA): This is your Notice of Right to Sue, leave issued at your request. Your lawsuit under Thie VII o eight of this notice; or your right to sue besed on thi ant.)
	More than 180 days have p	assed since the filing of this charge.	
X	Less than 180 days have peesed since the filing of this charge, but I have determined that it is unlikely than the EEOC be able to complete its administrative processing within 180 days from the filing of this charge.		
X	The EEOC is terminating ha	processing of this charge.	
	The EECC will continue to process this charge.		
Age Discr 80 days of your case	ter you receive notice that we h	(ADEA): You may sue under the ADEA at more completed sotion on the charge. In the	t any dine from 80 days after the charge was filed unt this regard, the paragraph marked below applies b
	The CROC is clasion work to	see. Therefore, your lawsuit under the AC of this Notice. Otherwise, your right to sue	DEA must be filed in federal or state court <u>WITHIN</u> based on the above-numbered charge will be lost.
	The EEOC is continuing its you may file sulf in federal o	handling of your ADEA case. However, it or state count under the ADEA of this time.	If 60 days have passed since the filling of the charge,
In feeleral c	or state court within 2 vegra (S v	the right to sue under the EPA (filing on EER rears for willful violations) of the olleged EP <u>2 years (3 years)</u> before you file suit ma	OC charge is not required.) EPA suits must be brough PA underpayment. This desails that backpay due fo sy not be collectible.
If you file s	uit, based on this charge, please	send a copy of your count complaint to this	offics,
		On behalf of the C	Commission
		Man QS	hi- 11/29/07
Enclosur(	<b>2\$</b> (5)	Michelle L Nardell Director	la, (Dam Malled)
CE:	Elizabeth Richman Human Resources Manager XPEDX 30536 Huntwood Ava		
	Hayward, CA 54664		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - State and Companier Manager Agents

## ARHOLD ACHTHAR PERMICUEL CONTIN

# DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

**EEOC Number:** 

Case Name:

Date:

(BEE ADDRESS CHECKED BELOW)

555-2008-00159 Ma. Lekişha Ware v XPEDX

TTY # (800) 700-2320

1001 Tower Way, Suite 250 Beliefallel, CA \$3509 (661) 395-1729

1920 E. Shaw Avenue, Suits 167 France, CA 193710

NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT

November 19, 2007

911 Vasar Shigh Street, Sulle 1800 R/S/T Los Angeles, CA 80017 /213) 486-8795

(\$59) 249-4760

1\$15 Clay Strate, Strine 701 Datamed, CA 94612 (610) 622-2921

2000 "O" Ament, Guite 120 Septemento, CA 95814 (916) 445-5523

1380 Frant Street, Suite 3005 Sen Diago, CA 92101 (518) 448,289

A Stat Francisco Marian Ombe 1575 Clay Street. Suke 701 Dakinnel, CA 84812 1510 | \$22-2873

2570, Morth First Street, Suite 480 Sen Jose, CA 85131 [408] \$25-0244

2107 Best Fourth Bitters, \$180x 255-8
Serita Ame, GA \$2706
[214] \$55-4269

This is to edvice you that the above-referenced completnt is being referred to the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). The complaint will be filled in accordance with California Government Code section 12860. This notice constitutes pervice pursuant to Government Code section 12862.

No response to the DFEH is required by the respondent.

The EEOC will be responsible for the processing of this complaint. DEEN will not be conducting an investigation into this matter. EEOC should be contacted directly for any discussion of the charge. DEEN is closing its case on the basis of "processing waived to another agency."

#### NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT OF RIGHT-TO-SUE

Since DFEH will not be issuing an accusation, this letter is also your right-to-sue notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), you may bring a pivil action under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The lawsuit may be filed in a State of California Superior or Justice Court, Government Code section 12865, subdivision (b), provides that such a civil action must be brought within one year from the date of this notice. Pursuant to Government Code section 12865, subdivision (d)(1), this one-year period will be tolled during the pendancy of the EEOC's investigation of your complaint. You should consult an attorney to determine with accuracy the date by which a civil action must be filed. This right to file a civil action may be waived in the event a settlement agreement is signed. Questions about the right to file under federal law should be referred to the EEOC.

The DFEH does not retain case records beyond three years after a complaint is filed.

Remember: This Right-To-Sue Notice allows you to file a private lauysuit in State court.

Sincerely,

WANDA J. KIRBY Chief Deputy Director

型本を見りませ G 1[847]的25[6折-1/29/2008 12:05:20 PA

01351-002\00010504.1

1 PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL 2 I am employed in Fresno County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 8080 North Palm Avenue, 3 Third Floor, Fresno, CA 93711. I am readily familiar with this firm's practice for collection and 4 processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Services. On, February 27, 5 2008 I placed with this firm at the above address for deposit with the United States Postal Service a 6 7 true and correct copy of the within document(s): 8 DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF REMOVAL 9 In a sealed envelope, addressed as follows: 10 Michael C. Cohen 11 1814 Franklin Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612 12 13 Following ordinary business practices, the envelope was sealed and placed for 14 collection and mailing on this date, and would, in the ordinary course of business, be deposited 15 with the United States Postal Service on this date. 16 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 17 above is true and correct. Executed on February 27, 2008 at Fresno, California. 18 19 /s/ NELLIE M. JACOUES 20 Nellie M. Jacques 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF REMOVAL



# Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)

Question:

How do I file after hours using the DROP BOX?

А	ЛS	w	er:

San Francisco	16th Floor	building closed between 6PM and 6AM	more info 415-522-2000			
San Jose	2nd Floor	building closed between 5PM and 7:30AM	more info 408-535-5364			
<u>Oakland</u>	1st Floor	building closed between 5:00 PM and 7:00 AM	more info 510-637-3530			

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DROP BOX FILING PROCEDURES

- 1. The drop box, located outside the Clerk's Office (see above chart), is available for the filing of documents before 9:00 a.m. and after 4:00 p.m. weekdays. Please note that access to the federal building is limited to 'normal business hours' (as noted in the chart above).
- 2. The drop box may not be used for the filing of any briefs in support of, or in opposition to, any matter scheduled for a hearing within 7 calendar days. All such documents must be filed in the Clerk's Office during regular office hours by the date due.
- 3. Using the electronic file stamping machine located next to the drop box, stamp each original document "Received" on the back side of the last page. Clerk's Office employees empty the box once each court day when the Clerk's Office opens to the public. The "Filed" date, which will be placed on original documents by Intake personnel, will be the same as the "Received" date, unless the "Received" date is a weekend or Court holiday. In those instances, the "Filed" date will be the first court day following the weekend or holiday. Documents placed in the drop box without a "Received" stamp will be filed as of the day the box is next emptied.
- 4. After stamping each original and enclosing one copy for the court,\* the documents must be placed in an orange court mailing pouch or red Expando folder provided for your convenience. To facilitate processing of your documents, each original document should be submitted on top of its copies. Prior to placing the pouch or folder in the drop box, please



rage 2 UL 2

insert in the pouch or folder window a fully completed Drop Box Filing Information Card. You may use more than one pouch or folder per filing, but a separate Information Card must be enclosed for each one.

(\*Please note that the Clerk's Office will retain two copies of all new complaints relating to patents, trademarks and copyrights.)

- 5. If you wish us to mail you one or more conformed copies that you have provided, you must enclose an appropriately sized, self-addressed, stamped envelope with adequate return postage. Alternatively, if you would like to pick up conformed copies, please mark your return envelope "FOR MESSENGER PICK UP BY: (NAME, FIRM)." Your copies will be available for pick-up after 2:00 p.m. on the day the drop box is emptied.
- 6. A filing fee, if required, may be paid by check or money order, payable to "Clerk, U.S. District Court" in an exact amount. Please do not enclose cash.
- 7. Documents deposited in the drop box must be in compliance with all local and federal rules, as appropriate. Documents filed "Under Seal" must be submitted in compliance with Civil L.R. 79-5.

While the information presented above is accurate as of the date of publication, it should not be cited or relied upon as legal authority. It is highly recommended that legal advice be obtained from an attorney or legal association. For filing requirements, please refer to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Federal Rules of Evidence. US Code. Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Code of Federal Regulations, and the Local Rules for the Northern District of California.